



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
21 March 2017

**REMOVAL OF CONTEMPTUOUS MATERIAL AND
ISSUANCE OF APOLOGY BY HAN HUI HUI**

1. On 13 March 2017, the Attorney-General's Chambers ("AGC") wrote to Ms Han Hui Hui ("Ms Han") about a video published on her YouTube account¹ and posts on her Facebook account² which were in contempt of court. The dates and the relevant parts of the video and posts are as stated in AGC's letter of 13 March 2017.
2. The relevant parts of Ms Han's video and posts scandalised the Singapore Courts by stating that the Singapore Courts had lied, that they were politically motivated in convicting and sentencing her, and that they sought to persecute and harass her. Her statements were scurrilous, false and made without any rational basis.
3. Ms Han was asked to remove all contemptuous parts of the video and posts from her YouTube and Facebook accounts and all other social media accounts, and to publish an apology for scandalising the Singapore Courts. She was also asked to undertake not to re-post the contemptuous parts of the video and posts, or to do any other act that would amount to contempt of court. Ms Han was warned that proceedings would be commenced against her if she did not comply with these conditions.
4. Ms Han had since complied with AGC's conditions. She had removed the contemptuous statements. She had also published the apology and undertaking required of her on her social media accounts.
5. Contempt of court in its various forms harms the proper administration of justice in Singapore. Left unchecked, such conduct can obstruct the determination of the truth and erode respect for our judicial institutions. This would in turn harm the public interest in the proper administration of justice. AGC will take firm action against contempt of court, including the institution of committal proceedings in appropriate instances.

¹ https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCzTFI_IJjp_N9g-Ohr6PNww

² <https://www.facebook.com/HanHuiHui/>

* * *

For queries, please contact:

Ms Lai Xue Ying

Manager

Tel: 6908 3067

Email: lai_xue_ying@agc.gov.sg



Telephone: +65 6908 9000
E-Mail: AGC@agc.gov.sg

AGC | ATTORNEY-
SINGAPORE | GENERAL'S
CHAMBERS

13 March 2017

Ms Han Hui Hui
[address not shown]

By Registered Post and Email
No. of pages (7)

Email address: hui_hui_247@hotmail.com

Dear Ms Han

CONTEMPTUOUS YOUTUBE VIDEO AND FACEBOOK POSTS

1 It has come to our attention that you have published a video on your YouTube account bearing the account name Han Hui Hui 韩慧慧 (located at the website https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCzTF1_IJjp_N9g-Ohr6PNww) (“the YouTube account”), and posts on your Facebook account bearing the account name Han Hui Hui 韩慧慧 (located at the website <https://www.facebook.com/HanHuiHui/>) (“the Facebook account”) which are in contempt of court. The date of the video/posts and the relevant parts are:

No.	Date of video	Relevant parts of the video
1.	21 January 2017	Approx. 3:37–3:50 Because I criticised the government and government policies, [District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt] decided to disqualify me from standing for parliamentary elections. They decided that they should fine me more than \$2,000 in order to disqualify me.
No.	Date of post	Relevant parts of the posts
2.	22 January 2017	This is a blatant case of political persecution with the deliberate nick-picking in order to convict us. The sentence has a combined total of S\$3,100 (more than S\$2,000) with the sole intention of political persecution to disqualify me from standing for parliamentary election.
3.	8 February 2017	Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied about what was recorded in the transcript that “On the Nparks website, there are three

		<p>options, a speech, a demonstration and a performance. But a Singaporean is only allowed to choose one.”</p> <p>Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied blatantly to politically persecute me by imposing a fine of more than \$2,000 so that I will be disqualified from standing for parliamentary election.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Or was there an intention to malign innocent Singaporeans?</p>
4.	13 February 2017	<p>Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied about what was recorded in the transcript that “On the Nparks website, there are three options, a speech, a demonstration and a performance. But a Singaporean is only allowed to choose one.”</p> <p>Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied blatantly to politically persecute me by imposing a fine of more than \$2,000 so that I will be disqualified from standing for parliamentary election.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Or was there an intention to malign innocent Singaporeans?</p>
5.	23 February 2017	<p>Sub-title of the embedded blog post “Alone in cell” from your blog located at the website http://huihui247.blogspot.sg/:</p> <p>Political Persecution & Judiciary Harassment: miscarriage of justice by state court’s Chay Yuen Fatt & high court’s See Kee Oon</p>
6.	25 February 2017	<p>Sub-title of the embedded blog post “Alone in cell” from your blog located at the website http://huihui247.blogspot.sg/:</p> <p>Political persecution & Judiciary Harassment by state court’s CHAY YUEN FATT and high court’s SEE KEE OON</p>

- 2 The relevant part of the 21 January 2017 video, which is publicly accessible on YouTube, wrongfully states that District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt imposed a fine of more than \$2,000 on you with the intention of disqualifying you from standing for parliamentary elections, and that he did so because you had criticised the government and government policies.

Further, it wrongfully insinuates that District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt did not act independently in determining the sentence, but instead acted on the instructions of others.

- 3 The relevant part of the 22 January 2017 post, which is publicly accessible, wrongfully states that you and the other co-accused persons tried jointly with you were convicted because the Court wanted to politically persecute you and your co-accused. In addition, it wrongfully insinuates that the Court secured your convictions by deliberately finding fault with you on unimportant issues (*i.e.* “deliberate nick-picking”). The post also wrongfully implies that the sentences imposed by the Court for your offences were politically motivated because they were deliberately calibrated to add up to more than S\$2,000 with “the sole intention” of disqualifying you from standing for parliamentary election.
- 4 The relevant parts of the 8 and 13 February 2017 posts, which are publicly accessible, both wrongfully state that District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied about the evidence in order to politically persecute you. In asserting that the District Judge lied, you wrongfully attributed a dishonest intention to him. You further wrongfully assert that he deliberately imposed a fine of more than \$2,000 to disqualify you from standing for parliamentary election. The posts also wrongfully insinuate that his actions in court and his judgment were intended to malign innocent Singaporeans including yourself.
- 5 The relevant parts of the 23 and 25 February 2017 posts, which are publicly accessible, are similar and relate to the sub-title of the embedded blog post “Alone in cell” from your blog located at <http://huihui247.blogspot.sg/>. The 23 February 2017 post wrongfully insinuates that both District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt and Justice See Kee Oon have caused a “miscarriage of justice” in order to politically persecute and harass you. The 25 February 2017 post wrongfully insinuates that both judges politically persecuted and harassed you.
- 6 **These are very serious allegations which scandalise our Courts. They are scurrilous, false, and made without any rational basis.**
- 7 Publishing such allegations can amount to contempt of court, and action can be taken against you. Nevertheless, we have decided that no proceedings will be commenced against you if you comply with the conditions listed in paragraph 8. If you fail to do so, then contempt proceedings will be commenced.
- 8 **We ask that all contemptuous parts of the YouTube video and Facebook posts be removed from the YouTube account, the Facebook account, any other Facebook accounts that you operate (collectively, “your Facebook accounts”) and all your other social media accounts and platforms (including but not limited to Instagram, Twitter and Blogspot). Further, we ask that you undertake not to re-post these parts**

of the YouTube video and Facebook posts in any form or medium or to put up any posts, or do any other act, that amounts to contempt of court. Lastly, we ask that you publish, within 7 calendar days from the date of this letter, this letter as well as an apology in the terms attached in Annex A, for scandalising the Singapore Courts. The apology is to be published on your Facebook accounts and all your other social media accounts and platforms.

Yours faithfully,

[signed]
Tan Ken Hwee
for Attorney-General

Encl.

Annex A

LETTER OF APOLOGY

I, Han Hui Hui, accept that the following parts of the YouTube video published on my YouTube account bearing the account name Han Hui Hui 韩慧慧 (located at the website https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCzTF1_IJjp_N9g-Ohr6PNww) and posts published on my Facebook account bearing the account name Han Hui Hui 韩慧慧 (located at the website <https://www.facebook.com/HanHuiHui/>), have scandalised the Courts of the Republic of Singapore (“the Singapore Courts”):

No.	Date of video	Relevant parts of the video
1.	21 January 2017	Approx. 3:37–3:50 Because I criticised the government and government policies, [District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt] decided to disqualify me from standing for parliamentary elections. They decided that they should fine me more than \$2,000 in order to disqualify me.
No.	Date of post	Relevant parts of the posts
2.	22 January 2017	This is a blatant case of political persecution with the deliberate nick-picking in order to convict us. The sentence has a combined total of S\$3,100 (more than S\$2,000) with the sole intention of political persecution to disqualify me from standing for parliamentary election.
3.	8 February 2017	Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied about what was recorded in the transcript that “On the Nparks website, there are three options, a speech, a demonstration and a performance. But a Singaporean is only allowed to choose one.” Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied blatantly to politically persecute me by imposing a fine of more than \$2,000 so that I will be disqualified from standing for parliamentary election. ... Or was there an intention to malign innocent Singaporeans?
4.	13 February 2017	Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied about what was recorded in the transcript that “On the Nparks website, there are three options, a speech, a demonstration and a performance. But a Singaporean is only allowed to choose one.”

		<p>Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied blatantly to politically persecute me by imposing a fine of more than \$2,000 so that I will be disqualified from standing for parliamentary election.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Or was there an intention to malign innocent Singaporeans?</p>
5.	23 February 2017	<p>Sub-title of the embedded blog post "Alone in cell" from my blog located at the website http://huihui247.blogspot.sg/:</p> <p>Political Persecution & Judiciary Harassment: miscarriage of justice by state court's Chay Yuen Fatt & high court's See Kee Oon</p>
6.	25 February 2017	<p>Sub-title of the embedded blog post "Alone in cell" from my blog located at the website http://huihui247.blogspot.sg/:</p> <p>Political persecution & Judiciary Harassment by state court's CHAY YUEN FATT and high court's SEE KEE OON</p>

I accept that my YouTube video published on 21 January 2017 wrongfully stated to the public that District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt imposed a fine of more than \$2,000 on me with the intention of disqualifying me from standing for parliamentary elections, and that he did so because I had criticised the government and government policies. Further, it wrongfully insinuates that District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt did not act independently in determining the sentence, but instead acted on the instructions of others.

I accept that my post on 22 January 2017 wrongfully stated to the public that the Singapore Courts were politically motivated and wanted to politically persecute me and the other co-accused persons tried jointly with me. In addition, it wrongfully insinuated that the Singapore Courts secured our convictions by deliberately finding fault with us on unimportant issues. The post also wrongfully implied that the sentences imposed by the court for my offences were deliberately calibrated with the sole intention of disqualifying me from standing for parliamentary election.

I accept that my posts on 8 and 13 February 2017 wrongfully stated to the public that District Judge Chay Yuen Fatt lied about the evidence in order to politically persecute me. I wrongfully attributed a dishonest intention to him. Further, I wrongfully stated that the District Judge deliberately imposed a fine of more than \$2,000 to disqualify me from standing for

parliamentary election. My posts also wrongfully insinuated that the actions of the judge were intended to malign innocent Singaporeans including myself.

I accept that the sub-title of the embedded blog post “Alone in cell” from my blog located at the website <http://huihui247.blogspot.sg/> contained in my Facebook posts on 23 and 25 February 2017 wrongfully insinuated to the public that the Singapore Courts caused a miscarriage of justice in order to politically persecute and harass me.

I unreservedly apologise for scandalising the Singapore Courts by publishing these parts of the YouTube video and Facebook posts. I have removed them from my YouTube account and Facebook accounts and all my other social media accounts and platforms. I undertake that I will not re-post these parts of the posts in any form or medium. I further undertake that I will not in future put up any posts, or do any other act, that amounts to contempt of court.